RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER OCT 1 8 2007

Application No.: 10/781,504 Docket No.: SPINE 3.0-455 CTP CONT II

REMARKS

This communication is in response to the Official Action mailed August 8, 2007. The following sets forth Applicants' remarks relating to the currently pending claims and the outstanding Action.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-7, 13-15, 17, 19, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. \$ 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,236,460 to Barber ("Earber") and claim is under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103(a) as being obvious over the combination of previously cited U.S. Patent No. 5,458,641 to Samirez Jimenez ("Earriez Jimenez") and U.S. Patent No. 4,105,407 to Sanderson ("Sanderson"). Essentially, it is the Examiner's position that Barber teaches each and every one of the limitations of the claims, most notably independent claims 1, 15, and 17, save for claim 16, which the Examiner believes is rendered obvious in view of the combination of Ramirez Jimenez and Sanderson. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's use of the prior art, and in particular, the Barber reference.

Barbar is directed to a vertebral body prosthesis that is designed to be inserted and implanted between vertebral bodies in humans. The prosthesis taught in Barber includes tubular inner and outer bodies that belescope relative to each other with each body having a platform on one end. The platform is ultimately secured to adjacent vertebral bodies through the use of sharp plus formed on the platform that pierce the vertebral bodies to stabilize the prosthesis. In addition, a bracket slides laterally and secures by screws to the sides of the vertebral bodies. The Examiner notes element 55 of Barber as being a star which extends anteriorly away from a surface of the base of the implant that is operable to facilitate movement of the intervertebral disc replacement device during insertion thereof into the intervertebral disc space, such that the first

Application No.: 10/781,504 Docket No.: SPINE 3.0-455 CIP CONT II

and second members may be at least one of inserted into and moved within the intervertebral space without substantially their orientation with respect t.o one Further, the Examiner asserts that stem 55 is sized and shaped for engagement with an insertion handle 61 to further facilitate movement oti the intervertebral disc replacement device. Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has mischaracterized that which is taught in the Barber reference.

Specifically, Applicants previously amendments in connection with the present matter which required an insertion plate limitation in each of the pending claims. This insertion plate is ultimately coupled to the first and resound members of an intervertebral disc replacement device during in insartion procedure, and includes a first mounting aliment, a second acombing element, and a stem extending away from the two elements for augagement with an incartion handle. Such is far different from that which is taught in Barber. Darbor makes to manufact of an instraion place whatsoever for contacting both the first and second members of the prosthesis Sought therein. Sather, Farber teaches an installation tool 55 which is secured by threads to a port 17 in outer body 13 of the WILL ALL MCCOL This installation tool is used to inject a resin packet 87 that contains a "immid resin, which, once injected into the proofhesic II. will harden to a rigid race. A plunger 19 is provided to push the room of seals packet 67 through a bole in injecting tool 55, and the plunger is moved forward by a member of a threaded handle 6% As such it is clear from the disclosure of Barbar that the reference is for different from the invention claimed in the presently pending claims. the present lavection is directed to an insertion plate for compline two portions of a two-miere opinal implant during insertice procedure and a population lasential handle, Berber simply teaches an implant having two portions that are already

Application No.: 30/781,504 Bocket No.: SPINE 3.0:455 CIP CONT II

fixed to one another (and remain fixed to one enother) and a installation tool 55 capable of injecting resin therein. There is simply no teaching of providing an insertion plate, nor would one be required in the device taught in Parber.

Because each and every one of the currently pending clause, most notably independent clause 1, 15, and 17, include the limitation of an insertion plate, Applicants respectfully submit that rejections of such claims as being anticipated by Barbor are improper. Thus, Applicants respectfully request allowance of these independent claims. Given than the remaining claims pending in the application depend from one of independent claims 1, 15, or 17, Applicants respectfully submit that such claims also constitute allowable subject matter. A dependent claim is uncessarily narrower than an independent claim from thick it properly depends. Therefore, in light of all of the above Applicants respectfully inquest allowance of each and every out of the currently pending claims.

As it is believed that all of the rejections set forth in the Official Action have been fully met, favorable throughly and the adjoint the end officer are contectly solicited

if, however, for any reason the Emminer does not believe that such nation can be taken at this 'time, it is begreated by requested that she belophone Applicants' attorney of (198) 654-5000 in order to overcome sev additional objections which he might have

If there are one additional charges in connection with this requested amendment the Examiner is authorized to charge Dopocit Account No. 12-1895 therefor

District Compbon 18, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin M. Korun Registration No.: 54,230

3

147.1

Application No : 10/781,804

Docket No.: SPINE 3 0-455 CIP CONT II

ARRNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West Westfield, New Jersey 07090 (908) 654-5000 Attorncy for Applicants